02 277

From: To: Sam Slack Mike Powell

Date: Subject: 4/11/03 12:59PM deregulation of media

**EX** PARTE OH LATE FILED

---- Original Message -----

From: Sam Slack <sam.slack@worldnet.att.net>

To: <mcopps@fcc.gov>

Sent: Friday, April 11, 2003 12:53 PM Subject: Ref: deregulation of media and the state of t

APR 15 2时

() /-- - -

> Dear Commissioner Copps:

>

- > Please let this e-mail serve as my formal complaint and objection to any
- > pending
- > FCC rulings which may lift restrictionson mergers between TV broadcast
- > networks and the number of local TV or radio stations owned by any one
- > company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of
- > programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main
- > responsibilities of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just
- > refer
- > to people of color... it refers to many different types of programming. I
- > applaud you Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to
- > this problem. I, and other Americans as well, are opposed to further media
- > deregulation . I hope you will act on our behalf to STOP further media
- > deregulation.

>

> Respectfully yours,

>

> Sam L. Slack

>

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED 02 a77

From:

Mike Gormley

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Sat, Apr 12, 2003 1:36 PM

Subject:

Media Consolidation

The FCCs reported proposal to lift or loosen restrictions limiting further consolidation and cross ownership of the media in the U.S. is anti-democratic. You should promote diversity, competition and localism. **Rules** limiting media consolidation should **be** strengthened not loosened.

Thank you,

Mike Gormley

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

na taon Committee and a second of the control of th

02-277

From: joyakuus@yahoo.com
To: CommissionerAdelstein
Date: Sun, Apr 13,200310:48 AM
Subject: Protect Children's Television!

## **EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

Daniel Strate on

FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein,

The FCC must consider the unique needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in children's development.

The FCC should consider how further relaxation of media ownership rules would impact children's programming. Deregulation may reduce competition, increase commercialism and result in **less** original programming for children.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Joan Ambo 4314 N. Dayton Chicago, Illinois 60613-1405

CC:

Senator Richard Durbin Senator Peter Fitzgerald Representative Jan Schakowsky

02.277

From: ddolphin@monmouth.edu
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Fri, Apr 11, 2003 4:05 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Isdand Communications Commission Office of Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Donna Dolphin 306 Bayside E. Pkwy Forked River, New Jersey 08731

From: ddolphin@monmouth.edu

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Fri, Apr 11, 2003 4:05 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

Federal Continues and Commercian Office of Sparetons

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, N station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Donna Dolphin 306 Bayside E. Pkwy Forked River, New Jersey 08731

From: WTHORPE@cwa-union.org

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Fri, Apr 11,2003 4:05 PM

**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

PECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

- Segar La Laborania Lord de Commento 2.1 - Grécio dú Simmotor II

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Mr. Willie Thorpe 2360 West Dorothy Lane Dayton, Ohio 45439 From:

Phil Fox

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Subject: Fri. Apr 11, 2003 4:20 PM

< \

<No Subject>

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

### Dear Commissioner Abernathy,

Please let this letter serve as my formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between N broadcast networks and the number of local N or radio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming. We applaud you for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

Respectfully yours,

Phil Fox phillfox@hotmail.com

APR 1 5 2003

Add photos to your messages with MSN 8. Get 2 months FREE\*.  $\label{eq:months} \textbf{http://join.msn.com/?page=features/featuredemail}$  From: amjbar28@earthlink.net

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Fri, Apr 11, 2003 5:05 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

APR 1 5 2003

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, N station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Anthony Bar 5300 Walnut St. Apt. 12 Oakland, California 94619 From:

amjbar28@earthlink.net

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Fri. Apr 11,2003 5:05 PM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

### EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.



Anthony Bar 5300 Walnut St Apt. 12 Oakland, California 94619

From: rj\_vanderbilt@hotmail.com

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Sat, Apr 12, 2003 12:54 AM

**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not **less**, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

PECEMED

APR 1 5 2003

per en fregeringbroken foreste lesion

Rebecca Vanderbilt PO Box 1916 Boulder, Colorado 80306

# EX PARIL OH LATE FILED

From: robmay@accessus.net

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Sat, Apr 12, 2003 9:19 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

PECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

had subjected to the action

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission *see* the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Robert Mayberry 3705 Thorne Briar Ct. Millstadt, Illinois 62260

From: jwinegardner@igc.org

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Sat, Apr 12, 2003 7:22 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

PECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

as on , . . . , and then

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, N station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Jill Winegardner 629 Palm Avenue Watsonville, California 95076

From: jmcco422@excite.com

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Sun, Apr 13, 2003 6:24 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. **We** believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Jerome Mc Collom 2254 S. Mound Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53207

From: gayle@cwa94lO.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy

**Date:** Thu, Apr 10,2003 10:49 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

PECEIVED

APR 1 5 2003

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy.

The Federal Communkations Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Gayle Crawley 240 2nd street San Francisco, California 94105

From: kalimompro@aol.com
To: kalimompro@aol.com

**Date:** Thu, Apr 10. 2003 11:34 AM

**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

PERCENTED.

APR 1 5 2003

- @ (5) in

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Wendy Nelson 2768 Osmundsen Road Fitchburg. Wisconsin 53711 From: kalimompro@aol.com

To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Thu, Apr 10,2003 11:34 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Wendy Nelson 2768 Osmundsen Road Fitchburg, Wisconsin 53711 From:

Philippe Beaudette

To: Date: Kathleen Abernathy Thu, Apr 10,200312:40 PM

Subject:

FCC protect media independence

#### Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

From: Philippe Beaudette

To: Commissioner Adelstein

Date: Thu, Apr 10,2003 12:40 PM

Subject: FCC protect media independence

#### Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding **rules** will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

From: Philippe Beaudette
To: Michael Copps

**Date:** Thu. Apr 10,200312:40 PM **Subject:** FCC protect media independence

#### Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

From: Philippe Beaudette

To: Mike Powell

**Date:** Thu. Apr 10,2003 12:40 PM FCC protect media independence

#### Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent **is** the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimateviews are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,